CITY OF GRAND RAPIDS

NOTICE OF MEETING PLANNING COMMISSION



Meeting Agenda Full Detail

Wednesday, January 8, 2020

4:00 PM

Council Chambers

Planning Commission

COUNCIL CHAMBERS CITY HALL - 420 N. Pokegama Ave. Grand Rapids, MN 55744 Call To Order

Call of Roll

Setting of Agenda - This is an opportunity to approve the regular agenda as presented or add/delete an agenda item by a majority vote of the Commissioners present.

Approval of Minutes

 19-0831
 Approve the minutes of the October 17, 2019, 4:30 pm regular/rescheduled meeting.

 Attachments:
 October 17, 2019 Planning Commission Meeting Minutes

Public Hearings

<u>20-0843</u>	Conduct a public hearing to consider the preliminary plat of The Pillars.		
	Attachments:	Preliminary Plat- The Pillars: Staff Report & Area Map	
		Rules for Public Hearing and Subdivision Considerations	
		Preliminary Plat Document: The Pillars	
		Preliminary Plat- The Pillars: Application	
		Preliminary Plat: Civil Plans	
<u>20-0841</u>		lic Hearing to consider a variance petition submitted by Oppidan and property owner, Grand Itasca Clinic and Hospital.	
	Attachments:	The Pillars Variance Request: Staff Report, Map, & Plat	
		Rules for Public Hearing & Variance Considerations	
		Variance Request: Application	
		Site Layout Map & Building Elevations	
General Busi	ness		
<u>19-0833</u>		ommendation to the City Council regarding the rezoning of 7.3 rom PU (Public Use) to R-4 (Multiple-family Residential- high	
	A tto o have o n to r	Zoning Man Amondmont Poquest: Staff Ponort & Location Mans	

 Attachments:
 Zoning Map Amendment Request: Staff Report & Location Maps

 Zoning Map Amendment Considerations & Checklist
 Aurora Heights Zoning Map Amendment Request: Application

 Aurora Heights/City of GR purchase agreement
 Aurora Heights/City of GR purchase agreement

Public Input

Individuals may address the Planning Commission about any non public hearing item or any item not included on the Regular Meeting Agenda. Speakers are requested to come to the podium, state their name and address for the record and limit their remarks to three (3) minutes.

Miscellaneous\Updates

Adjourn

NEXT REGULAR PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING IS SCHEDULED FOR: Thursday, February 6th, 2020



CITY OF GRAND RAPIDS

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	19-0	831	Version:	1	Name:	Approve the minutes of the October 17, 2019, 4:30 pm regular/rescheduled meeting.
Туре:	Minu	utes			Status:	Approved
File created:	12/1	9/2019			In control:	Planning Commission
On agenda:	1/8/2	1/8/2020 Final action:				
Title:	Approve the minutes of the October 17, 2019, 4:30 pm regular/rescheduled meeting.					
Sponsors:						
Indexes:						
Code sections:						
Attachments:	October 17, 2019 Planning Commission Meeting Minutes					
Date	Ver.	Action By			Act	ion Result
1/8/2020	1 Planning Commission					
Approve the minutes of the October 17, 2019, 4:30 pm regular/rescheduled meeting.						

Background Information:

See attached meeting minutes.

Staff Recommendation:

Approve the minutes of the October 17, 2019, 4:30 pm regular/rescheduled meeting.



CITY OF GRAND RAPIDS

Minutes - Final

Planning Commission

COUNCIL CHAMBERS CITY HALL - 420 N. Pokegama Ave. Grand Rapids, MN 55744				
Thursday, October 17, 2019	4:30 PM	Council Chambers		
Call To Order				

Call of Roll

- Present 4 Chairperson Molly MacGregor, Vice Chair Patrick Goggin, Commissioner Lester Kachinske, and Commissioner Betsy Johnson
- Absent 3 Commissioner Susan Lynch, Commissioner Mark Gothard, and Commissioner Ted Hubbes

Setting of Agenda - This is an opportunity to approve the regular agenda as presented or add/delete an agenda item by a majority vote of the Commissioners present.

Approved As Presented

Approval of Minutes

Approve the minutes of the September 5, 2019, 4:00 pm regular meeting.

Motion by Commissioner Goggin, second by Commissioner Johnson to approve the minutes of the August 1st, 2019 Regular Meeting. The following voted in favor thereof: Johnson, Goggin, Kachinske, MacGregor. Opposed: None, passed unanimously.

Public Hearings

Conduct a public hearing to consider a recommendation to the City Council regarding a request for a fourth amendment to the approved Conditional Use Permit granted to Wal-Mart Stores Inc., for the construction of a new Wal-Mart Supercenter located on Lot 1, Block 1, Plat of Wal-Mart Grand Rapids in December 2006.

LK Architecture, on behalf of Wal-Mart Real Estate Business Trust, has recently requested the Planning Commission's recommendation for approval, of a fourth amendment to a Conditional Use Permit.

The requested amendment would allow for the updating of the building's current exterior paint colors, from the existing earth tone color scheme, to the current Wal-Mart brand standard of neutral grey with blue accent, and additionally, the updating of existing building signage.

When reviewing a Conditional Use Permit application and considering their recommendation to the City Council, the Planning Commission should make specific findings based upon their standard list of considerations, which are found in Section 30-531e of the City Code. The Planning Condition must also consider the degree to which the proposed project meets the criteria and objectives established within the Large Scale Commercial Design Standards, Division 14, of the City Council to ensure that the project meets those objectives and criteria.

Special attention should be given to that portion of Division 14 which addresses building materials and colors, to be sure the spirit and intent of the ordinance is maintained with the proposed changes: (attachment - Division 14)

Section 30-903(1)b. Materials and colors

Staff has reviewed the application and the associated changes to the approved development plans and provides the following comment and potential condition:

• That all previously imposed conditions under City Council Resolution No.'s 06-130, 07-08, 08-22, & 17-106 remain in effect.

Motion by Commissioner Kachinske, second by Commissioner Goggin to open the public hearing. The following voted in favor thereof: Johnson, Goggin, Kachinske, MacGregor. Opposed: None, passed unanimously.

Jesus Delatorre of LK Architecture provided information on the project and answered the Commissioners questions.

Motion by Commissioner Kachinske, second by Commissioner Goggin to close the public hearing. The following voted in favor thereof: MacGregor, Kachinske, Goggin, Johnson. Opposed: None, passed unanimously.

Motion by Commissioner Johnson, second by Commissioner Kachinske that, based on the findings of fact presented here today, and in the public's best interest, the Planning Commission does hereby recommend that the City Council grant the following amendment to the Conditional Use Permit, approved under City Resolution No. 06-130, to Wal-Mart Stores Inc., for the property legally described as: Lot 1, Block 1, Plat of Wal-Mart Grand Rapids, Itasca County, Minnesota:

• Allow for the updating of the building's current exterior paint colors, from the existing earth tone color scheme, to the current Wal-Mart brand standard of neutral grey with blue accent, and additionally, the updating of existing building signage of the Wal-Mart building as described within the CUP application.

and that the following conditions shall apply:

• That all previously imposed conditions under City Council Resolution No.'s 06-130, 07-08, 08-22, & 17-106 remain in effect.

With the following considerations:

1. Will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, morals, or general

welfare?

Why/Why not? No, it will not it is just a color change on the building.

2. Will not cause undue traffic congestion or hazards and will not result in a parking shortage?

Why/Why not? No, it will not.

3. Will not be injurious to the use and enjoyment or result in a decrease in value of other property in the area?

Why/Why not? No, it will improve the look which should add value to the properties.

4. Will not impede the orderly development of other property in the area? Why/Why not? No, it will not and by keeping the property well maintained it should encourge other development.

5. Will not impose an excessive burden on parks and other public facilities and utilities?

Why/Why not? No, it will not.

6. Is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan? Why/Why not? Yes, it compliant with orderly development.

The following voted in favor thereof: MacGregor, Kachinske, Johnson, Goggin. Opposed: None, passed unanimously.

Public Input

Miscellaneous\Updates

The comprehensive plan consultants will be setting up public input sessions in December and are hoping to have the update completed by early January.

Adjourn



CITY OF GRAND RAPIDS

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	20-0	843	Version:	1	Name:	Conduct a public hearing to consider the preliminary plat of The Pillars.
Туре:	Publ	lic Hearing	1		Status:	PC Public Hearing
File created:	12/3	1/2019			In control:	Planning Commission
On agenda:	1/8/2	2020			Final action:	
Title:	Con	Conduct a public hearing to consider the preliminary plat of The Pillars.				
Sponsors:						
Indexes:						
Code sections:						
Attachments:		Preliminary Plat- The Pillars: Staff Report & Area Map Rules for Public Hearing and Subdivision Considerations				
	<u>Preli</u>	Preliminary Plat Document: The Pillars				
	<u>Preli</u>	Preliminary Plat- The Pillars: Application				
	<u>Preli</u>	Preliminary Plat: Civil Plans				
Date	Ver.	Action By			Act	on Result
1/8/2020	1	Planning	Commissio	n		

Conduct a public hearing to consider the preliminary plat of The Pillars.

Background Information:

See attached Staff Report and Background Information.

Staff Recommendation:

Conduct a public hearing to consider the preliminary plat of The Pillars.



Planning Commission Staff Report

ITS IN MINNESOTAS, NATURE					
Agenda Item #2	Community Development Date: 1/8/2020 Department				
Statement of Issue:	Conduct a public hearing to consider the preliminary plat of The Pillars.				
Background:	A preliminary plat entitled "The Pillars" was submitted by Oppidan Holdings, LLC, and property owner, Grand Itasca Clinic and Hospital, and filed with the City on December 6, 2019. The property included within the preliminary plat is 14.45 acres in area, including proposed right-of-way, and its location can generally be described as west of the Grand Itasca Clinic and Hospital, north of the Golf Course Road (Co. Rd. 23), and accessed from the west by way of the newly constructed 8th Street SW within the plat of Great River Acres. <i>(see attached location map)</i> A complete legal description of the subject property is included with the preliminary plat documents.				
	 The plat petitioner has indicated that the three proposed lots will be developed as follows: Lot 1, Block 1: northern most lot (7.96 acres) will be retained by Grand Itasca Clinic and Hospital, also retaining the existing SM (Shoreland Medical) zoning designation. Future development and/or a request for a possible zoning change is undetermined at this time. 				
	 Lot 2, Block 1: southern most lot (5.8 acres) will be purchased by Oppidan Holdings from Grand Itasca, and developed into a 120-unit senior living community (independent living, assisted living, and memory care) providing: underground parking, a fitness center, theater room, pub/gathering room, salon and spa, chapel, roof deck and outdoor activity space. A Zoning Map Amendment request will be petitioned for this lot in the near future, requesting a change from the existing SM (Shoreland Medical) zoning designation to that of SR-4 (Shoreland Multi-family Residential-high density). 				
	• <u>Outlot A</u> (.11 acres) ownership retained by Grand Itasca Clinic and Hospital and will serve as a storm water basin for a portion of the 8th Street SW runoff.				
	The plat area, part of Grand Itasca's greater 74 acre medical campus, is currently undeveloped, except for a non-motorized, multi –purpose trail crossing the northern one-third of the property, and was previously inaccessible for development prior to the platting of Great River Acres, and the extension of city infrastructure into the adjacent property.				
	The 2011 Comprehensive Plan's general vision for land use in the area of this				

	proposed subdivision is that of Medical Campus, which is consistent with the
	existing use of the eastern portion of the greater parcel owned by Grand Itasca. Properties to the west and south are shown as future Multi-family Residential. A future rezoning of a portion of the subject property from the currently established SPU (Shoreland Public Use) to a SR-4 designation to take place under separate petition. <i>It should be noted that the boundaries</i> <i>for land uses, in the Future Land Use Map, are not precise and that the actual</i> <i>boundaries are intended to be shaped by market conditions, demographic</i> <i>changes, and economic events that occur over the life of the Comprehensive</i> <i>Plan.</i>
	The staff review committee, consisting of the Director of Public Works/City Engineer, Fire Chief, Grand Rapids PUC, Director of Parks and Recreation, and Community Development Department, has reviewed the preliminary plat for technical standards and found that it substantially complies with the City's subdivision requirements. However, there are a few comments identified by the review committee that should be addressed. Those items are as follows: (various items have already been addressed on the preliminary plat, prior to the Public Hearing)
	 Provide a street lighting plan for 8th St. SW prior to final plat approval.
	• The Subdivision Agreement, to be considered at the final plat approval, shall incorporate a parkland dedication fee, in lieu of dedication of land and in accordance with Section 30-266.
	Additionally, the preliminary plat was circulated to the Commissioner of the MN DNR, as required by MN Shoreland Rules.
Considerations:	When reviewing the preliminary plat, the Planning Commission needs to make findings as to whether or not the preliminary plat conforms to the subdivision ordinance and if it is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.
Recommendation:	Staff recommends that the Planning Commissioners; review the preliminary plat and associated documents, review the comments submitted by the Review Committee, and review the relevant sections of the Comprehensive Plan and Subdivision Ordinance.
	Prior to making a motion to recommend to the City Council approval or denial of the preliminary plat, the Planning Commission should make specific findings to support their recommendation in the topical areas outlined within their list of considerations, and giving consideration, also, to the supplemental list provided.
	If those findings are favorable, the Planning Commission should pass a motion to recommend approval to the City Council. (See example motion) If the findings are unfavorable, and the Planning Commission feels that significant changes are necessary, the matter could be tabled to a future

	meeting date allowing sufficient time for revisions to be made and reviewed.
Required Action:	 Pass a motion forwarding a recommendation to the City Council for approval of the preliminary plat. <u>Example Motion:</u> Motion by, second by that, based on the findings of fact presented here today, and in the public's best interest, the Planning Commission does hereby forward to the City Council a recommendation to <u>approve</u> the preliminary plat of The Pillars; (Contingent upon the applicant making the following corrections/clarifications): Those changes suggested by the Review Committee Any additional revisions the Planning Commission sees as necessary
Attachments:	 Preliminary Plat and associated documents Site Map





Grand Rapids Planning Commission *Grand Rapids, MN – City Hall*

RULES FOR A PUBLIC HEARING

- 1. After the Chairperson opens the Public Hearing, background on the issue at hand will be given by our Community Development Department Staff and by other presenters.
- 2. Anyone who wishes to address the Commission about the issue may do so, and all who wish to speak will be heard. Please step to the lectern to use the microphone, and state your name and address for the public record. These Proceedings are recorded. Please keep your comments relative to the issue. Please keep in mind that you are addressing the Planning Commission, not debating others in the audience who may have conflicting viewpoints. At all times, be courteous and refrain from interrupting any other speaker present on the floor.
- 3. After everyone has spoken, the Public Hearing will be closed. At this point, Planning Commissioners may ask clarifying questions from citizens and presenters.
- 4. The Chairperson will go through the legal Considerations for the Issue of the Public Hearing, after which the Commissioners will vote on the issue.

PLANNING COMMISSION

CONSIDERATIONS

SUBDIVISIONS

- 1. Has there been a change in the development policies of the community?
- 2. Was there a mistake in the original zoning ordinance?
- 3 Is the Zoning Ordinance up to date?
- 4. Is the proposed subdivision compatible with adjacent land uses?
- 5. Will the proposed subdivision cause undue traffic congestion?
- 6. Will the proposed subdivision affect public utilities?
- 7. Will the proposed subdivision be detrimental to public health, morals, or general welfare?
- 8. Will the proposed subdivision impede orderly development of other property in the area?
- 9. Will the proposed subdivision cause a decrease in value of adjacent property?
- 10. Will the proposed subdivision increase tax revenues?
- 11. Will the proposed subdivision impose an excessive burden on parks and other public facilities?
- 12. Is the proposed subdivision consistent with the Comprehensive Plan?





Preliminary Plat Application Community Development Department 420 North Pokegama Ave. Grand Rapids, MN 55744 Tel. (218) 326-7601 Fax (218) 326-7621 Web Site: www.cityofgrandrapidsmn.com

All subdivisions in the City of Grand Rapids shall be in compliance with the Grand Rapids City Code, Articles V (Subdivisions) and VI (Zoning). The City Code can be viewed on the City of Grand Rapids web site, www.cityofgrandrapidsmn.com (follow the prompts for City Code).

PLAT NAME: The Pillars

Applicant/Business Name: Oppidan Holdings, LLC					
Contact Darcon, Michelle Riedel					
	zip: 55331				
	(Fax)				
E-mail Address: michelle@oppidan.com					
Interest In Property: Senior Housing Developmen					
Orand Ites as Lissnited					
Property Owner(s) of record: Grand Itasca Hospital					
Address: 1601 Golf Course Road, Grand Rapic					
Telephone:(Work)_218-999-1702(Other)	(Fax)				
Surveyor or Engineer: Sara Christenson, SEH					
Address: 21 NE 5th Street, Grand Rapids, MN 55744					
Telephone:(Work) 218-322-4513 (Other) 218-360-0463 (Fax)					
E-mail Address: schristenson@sehinc.com					
Office	Use Only				
DEC 0 6 2019					
Date Received Certified Complete 12619 Fee Paid \$2,525					
Does the subdivision boundary abut a state rail bank or established trunk highway (requiring road review): 🗆 Yes 💆 No					
Planning Commission Recommendation: (Preliminary)	Approved Denied Meeting Date 18/2020				
(Final)	Approved Denied Meeting Date				
City Council Action: (Preliminary) (Final)	Approved Denied Meeting Date 1 27 20 Approved Denied Meeting Date				
(i ilidi)	Approved Denied Meeting Date				

City of Grand Rapids Preliminary Plat Application Page 1 of 3

Parcel Information:	0.0
Tax Parcel #(s) 91-030-1102	Property Size(acres); 6.3 acres
Existing Zoning: Medical	unesan.
-	
Proposed Use(s): 120-unit senior housing developm	nent
Property Address/Location:	99 a million an
Legal Description; See attached.	
All Malfrances and a second	
	2010-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-
(attach additional :	sheet (f necessary)
Applications must be received no later than the end of the first staff and the department head review committee. Planning Commonth.	t week of the month, to allow sufficient time for review by ommission meetings are held on the first Thursday of each
*1 If a zoning change is required, a petition for rezon	Ing must be filed separately.
I(we) certify that, to the best of my(our) knowledge, informatik application is accurate and complete and includes all required is the subject property by public officers, employees, and agents of purposes of processing, evaluating, and deciding upon this app	information and submittals, and that I consent to entry upon of the City of Grand Rapids wishing to view the site for
	12/2/10
Signature(s) of Applicant(s)	12/3/1/1 Date
Overet's Signature (if different than applicant)	12/3/19

ŕ

City of Grand Rapids Preliminary Plat Application Page 2 of 3

Required Submittals: The following items must be provided with your application, unless the Director of Community Development waives a requirement.

☑ Application Fee - \$2,525.00 *2

Proof of Ownership – (a copy of a property tax statement or deed will suffice)

☑ 2 copies of the Preliminary Plat (D- size--22" X 34")

☑ 1 copy of the Preliminary Plat (B size—11" x 17")

A letter from the County Recorder verifying that the subdivision name is not duplicated elsewhere in the County.

A typed letter, addressed to the Grand Rapids Planning Commission, indicating the following:

- A statement of the proposed use of all of the lots
- A listing of any proposed protective covenants.
- Proposed reapportionment of any existing assessments.

A letter from the Itasca County Soil and Water Conservation District verifying the presence/or lack of wetlands.

A copy of an updated Title Opinion or Title Insurance Policy showing proof of ownership of the property being subdivided.

 \square A copy of a current Assessment Certificate from the City Clerk showing whether or not there are any current assessments on the property.

Copy of current year's Tax Statement.

Electronic files of any written project statements, legal descriptions, or narratives, and plans in Microsoft Word format and pdf.

*²The application fees charged are used for postage to mail the required notices to adjacent properties, publication of the public hearing notice in the Grand Rapids Herald Review, and for a small portion of staff time for case review and preparation of documents. It is the policy of the City of Grand Rapids to require applicants for land use approvals to reimburse the City for costs incurred by the City in reviewing and acting upon applications, so that these costs are not borne by the taxpayers of the City.

INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED

More information may be requested by the City of Grand Rapids Planning Commission or City Council, if deemed necessary to properly evaluate your request. The lack of information requested may be in itself sufficient cause to deny an application.



400 Water Street - Suite 200 - Excelsior, MN 55331 - T: 952.294.0353 - F: 952.294.0151 - www.oppidan.com

December 5, 2019

City of Grand Rapids 420 N. Pokegama Avenue Grand Rapids, MN 55744

RE: Preliminary Plat Application Letter to the Planning Commission

Grand Rapids Planning Commission,

Per the requirements of the City of Grand Rapids Preliminary Plat application Oppidan, Inc. is applying, on behalf of Grand Itasca Hospital, and would like to introduce The Pillars of Grand Rapids, a 120-unit senior living community for Independent, Assisted Living and Memory Care residents.

This proposed development would require approximately 6 acres of land for development. Within in this proposed community are several amenities including heated, underground parking, a fitness center, pub, theater room, salon and spa, chapel, roof deck and outdoor activity space. Grand Itasca Hospital would retain the remaining 9 acres for future development allowed by the Shoreline Density Tier provisions.

Listing of Any Proposed covenants

N/A - It is the decision of the Oppidan and the Grand Itasca not to create any restrictions on development of remaining lands.

Proposed Reapportionment of any existing assessments

N/A – There are no existing easements to reapportion.

We look forward to working with you, the Planning Commission, and the City to work through additional details of this proposal.

Sincerely

Oppidan, Inc.

Legal Description

DESCRIPTION OF PARENT PARCEL:

The Northwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter (NW ¼ NW ¼), Section Twenty-nine (29), Township Fiftyfive (55) North, Range Twenty-five (25) West of the Fourth Principal Meridian, LESS the South 191 feet of the East 363 feet thereof;

AND

The Northeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter (NE ¼ NE ¼), Section Thirty (30), Township Fifty-five (55) North, Range Twenty-five (25) West of the Fourth Principal Meridian, less the South 250 feet of the West 347 feet thereof;

All according to the United States Government Survey thereof, Itasca County, Minnesota.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED PARCEL to be subdivided:

That part of the Northeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter (NE ¼ of NE ¼), Section Thirty (30), Township Fifty-five (55) North, Range Twenty-five (25) West of the Fourth Principal Meridian, less the South 250 feet of the West 347 feet thereof, according to the United States Government Survey thereof, Itasca County, Minnesota, lying within the following described figure:

BEGINNING at the Northwest Corner of the said NE ¼ of the NE ¼, thence North 88 degrees 42 minutes 05 seconds East, along the north line of the said NE ¼ of the NE ¼, a distance of 695.68 feet; thence South 1 degree 17 minutes 55 seconds East a distance of 664.15 feet; thence South 59 degrees 09 minutes 58 seconds West a distance of 377.00 feet; thence South 57 degrees 10 minutes 33 seconds West a distance of 160.00 feet; thence South 35 degrees 59 minutes 01 second West a distance of 174.63 feet to the north line of the said South 250 feet of the West 347 feet; thence South 88 degrees 54 minutes 03 seconds West, along said north line, a distance of 160.59 feet to the west line of said NE ¼ of the NE ¼, thence North 00 degrees 34 minutes 33 seconds East, along said west line, a distance of 1072.62 feet to the Point of Beginning and there terminating.

Excepting all minerals and mineral rights. Subject to restrictions, reservations, and easements of record. Containing approximately 14.44 acres.

SURVEYOR'S NOTE: Subject to flowage rights upon lands at or below the elevation of 1269.34 feet as described in Book 18 M.R., page 162, dated January 3, 1901.

Legal Description

The Northwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter (NW ¼ NW ¼), Section Twenty-nine (29), Township Fifty Five (55) North, Range Twenty-five (25) West of the Fourth Principal Meridian, LESS the South 191 fee of the East 363 feet thereof;

AND

The Northeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter (NE ¼ NE ¼), Section Thirty (30), Township Fifty-five (55) North, Range Twenty-five (25) West of the Fourth Principal Meridian, less the South 250 feet of the West 347 fee thereof;

All according to the United States Government Survey thereof, Itasca County, Minnesota.

Project Narrative

Approximately 6 acres of land owned by the Grand Itasca Hospital described in the application are proposed to be platted and rezoned in compliance with the City of Grand Rapids ordinance. Oppidan Holdings, LLC has entered into agreement with Grand Itasca Hospital to lease the land located near 1601 Golf Course Road for a proposed new senior living community.

Before development plans began, Oppidan contracted a Senior Living Consultant to perform a market study to determine demand for senior residential units for Independent Living, Assisted Living and Memory Care. The study supports the proposed 120-unit community. Oppidan will own the community and has entered into an agreement with Ebenezer Management Services to manage the community. Ebenezer is wholly owned by Fairview Health Services and brings a wealth of experience and awareness to the aging population from both a caring living experience to necessary healthcare provisions. This will be the sixth community partnership between Oppidan and Ebenezer.

As mentioned, this proposed community will be 120 units of Independent Living, Assisted Living and Memory Care. Oppidan has partnered with Kaas Wilson Architects to design the building. Oppidan and Kaas Wilson have worked on two additional senior communities in the past and Kaas Wilson has worked with Ebenezer on numerous projects. This partnership will allow the proposed development to provide state of the art amenities for seniors throughout their various stages of care. Oppidan has also partnered with SEH for the civil piece of the design and will rely heavily on their familiarity and knowledge of Grand Rapids.

Proposed Use of all the lots

This proposed development would require 6 acres of land for development. This includes 120 apartments for residents looking for Independent and Assisted Living as well as Memory Care. Included in this proposed community are several amenities including heated, underground parking, a fitness center, pub, theater room, salon and spa, chapel, roof deck and outdoor activity space.

Grand Itasca Hospital would retain an additional 9 acres for future development allowed by the Shoreline Density Tier provisions.

Surrounding Site

The area surrounding this site has been zoned residential and is currently being developed by the City of Grand Rapids. These plans include single-family homes and a nearby elementary school. Our proposed senior housing community fits well within this new development and would create a unique opportunity for intergenerational activities between the seniors, the students and the neighbors.

We also know that there is a great need for senior housing in the City of Grand Rapids and the proposed development will provide for a great alternative location with unique amenities and a well-known operator in Ebenezer.

Comprehensive Plan

The proposed senior housing community development falls directly in line with the vision set forth in the City of Grand Rapid's Comprehensive Plan. The addition of this community in a developing area adds to the diversity of housing options provided in the area by blending seamlessly with the City's proposed single-family homes that are currently under development.

This proposed community provides safe, healthy living options for the seniors of Grand Rapids and surrounding communities. Great care and attention to detail are put into designing a building that is safe and comfortable for its residents but also for the surrounding users. Each roadway, bike path and park bench are designed with safety, functionality and accessibility in mind for our aging population and those nearby.

One of the best features of this site, while also being one of the biggest challenges, is its forestry and topography. While the site will change during development, we intend to save as much of the surrounding landscape as possible. This is one of the biggest draws to the site and our intent is to enhance this wooded setting in a way that will allow residents and visitors to appreciate the surrounding beauty.

Additionally, one of the founding principles of The Pillars senior living communities is lifelong learning. In working with Ebenezer, we strive to create unique opportunities for our residents through community partnerships, intergenerational activities, access to education and programming through programs such as WellnessVR (virtual reality) and partnerships with the community. Oppidan communities benefit from experiences made possible through the Pillars Fund which provides unique opportunities for memory care residents living within our communities. These funds allow residents to create art, music and memories otherwise not possible.

We believe that the Pillars of Grand Rapids will provide seniors of the Grand Rapids area with a great alternative living option and we look forward to working with the City to make this a reality.



Save: 11/12/2019 11:49 AM schristenson Plot: 11/12/2019 12:02 PM P:\KO\K\tTJTH\153062\5-final-dsgn\51-drawings\10-Civillcadidwg\exhibitKT153062_ExhibitGL1.dwg



COUNTY RECORDER/REGISTRAR Itasca County Courthouse 123 N.E. 4th Street GRAND RAPIDS, MINNESOTA 55744-2600 (218) 327-2856 • FAX (218) 327-0689



November 25th, 2019

Michael Hudec SEH

RE: Plat Name

Dear Michael,

Let it be known that there is no recorded plat in the office of the Itasca County Recorder or Itasca County Registrar of Titles in the name of "The Pillars".

Sincerely,

Nille Zuehrke

Nicolle Zuehlke Itasca County Recorder/Registrar

Minnesota Wetland Conservation Act Notice of Decision

Local Government Unit (LGU) Itasca County Soil & Water Conservation District

Address 1889 E. Highway 2 Grand Rapids, MN 55744

	1. PRC	JECT INFORM	ATION		
Applicant Name Oppidan Holdings. LLO Agent: Erin Budrow	Pr	oject Name ppidan Complex		Date of Application 10/30/2018	Application Number 2018/29
Attach site locator ma	ıp.				
Type of Decision:					
Wetland Boundary or Sequencing	Туре	No-Loss	Exemption	n 🗌	
₽ □ ₽	Replacement Plar	1	Banking Pla	an	
Technical Evaluation Pane	Findings and R	ecommendation (if	anv).	999 DALAK U U U U	
Approve		Approve with condi			Deny
Summary (or attach): TE		••			
		• • • •			
2	2. LOCAL GO	VERNMENT UN	IT DECISIO	N	
Date of Decision: 11/29/					NAGWALL
Approved	Approved	l with conditions (in	clude below)	[] Denied
LGU Findings and Conclu	sions (attach add	itional sheets as nec	essary):		is a second s

LGU found application re		18			
LGU found wetlands to b	•		1.7 .1 1		
LGU found the single wetland basin consisting of Type 2,3,6, and 7 wetlands to be typed correctly LGU found the single wetland basin consisting of Type 2,3,6, and 7 wetlands to have a correct					
boundary					
In conclusion LGU recommends approval of this application					
For Devilopment Disco	na anadita fac t	ha Stata Watlan 1 D			
For Replacement Plans usi Bank Account # Ban	ng credits from t	he State Wetland Ba		Approved for	
	ni 501 1100 / 110d			awal (sq. ft. or	nearest

Replacement Plan Approval Conditions. In addition to any conditions specified by the LGU, the approval of a <u>Wetland Replacement Plan</u> is conditional upon the following:

Financial Assurance: For project-specific replacement that is not in-advance, a financial assurance specified by the LGU must be submitted to the LGU in accordance with MN Rule 8420.0522, Subp. 9 (List amount and type in LGU Findings).

Deed Recording: For project-specific replacement, evidence must be provided to the LGU that the BWSR "Declaration of Restrictions and Covenants" and "Consent to Replacement Wetland" forms have been filed with the county recorder's office in which the replacement wetland is located.

Credit Withdrawal: For replacement consisting of wetland bank credits, confirmation that BWSR has withdrawn the credits from the state wetland bank as specified in the approved replacement plan.

Wetlands may not be impacted until all applicable conditions have been met!

LGU Authorized Signature:

Signing and mailing of this completed form to the appropriate recipients in accordance with 8420.0255, Subp. 5 provides notice that a decision was made by the LGU under the Wetland Conservation Act as specified above. If additional details on the decision exist, they have been provided to the landowner and are available from the LGU upon request.

Name Waylon Glienke	Title Wetland Spe	ecialist
Signature	Date 11/29/2018	Phone Number and E-mail 218-328-3091 waylon.glienke@itascaswcd.org

THIS DECISION ONLY APPLIES TO THE MINNESOTA WETLAND CONSERVATION ACT. Additional approvals or permits from local, state, and federal agencies may be required. Check with all appropriate authorities before commencing work in or near wetlands.

Applicants proceed at their own risk if work authorized by this decision is started before the time period for appeal (30 days) has expired. If this decision is reversed or revised under appeal, the applicant may be responsible for restoring or replacing all wetland impacts.

This decision is valid for three years from the date of decision unless a longer period is advised by the TEP and specified in this notice of decision.

3. APPEAL OF THIS DECISION

Pursuant to MN Rule 8420.0905, any appeal of this decision can only be commenced by mailing a petition for appeal, including applicable fee, within thirty (30) calendar days of the date of the mailing of this Notice to the following as indicated:

Check one:

Appeal of an LGU staff decision. Send petition and \$ fee (if applicable) to:	 Appeal of LGU governing body decision. Send petition and \$500 filing fee to: Executive Director Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources 520 Lafayette Road North
	St. Paul, MN 55155

4. LIST OF ADDRESSEES

- SWCD TEP member: Kim Yankowiak (kim.yankowiak@itascaswcd.org)
- BWSR TEP member: Matt Johnson (matthew.johnson@state.mn.us)
- LGU TEP member (if different than LGU Contact):
- DNR TEP member: **Rian Reed (rian.reed@state.mn.us)**
 - DNR Regional Office (if different than DNR TEP member)
 - WD or WMO (if applicable):

A A	Applicant and Landowner (if different) Members of the public who requested notice: Itasca County Environmental Services (Jim.Gustafson@co.itasca.mn.us)
	Corps of Engineers Project Manager BWSR Wetland Bank Coordinator (wetland bank plan decisions only)

5. MAILING INFORMATION

>For a list of BWSR TEP representatives: <u>www.bwsr.state.mn.us/aboutbwsr/workareas/WCA_areas.pdf</u>

>For a list of DNR TEP representatives: www.bwsr.state.mn.us/wetlands/wca/DNR_TEP_contacts.pdf

> Department of Natural Resources Regional Offices:

NW Region:	NE Region:	Central Region:	Southern Region:				
Reg. Env. Assess. Ecol.	Reg. Env. Assess. Ecol.	Reg. Env. Assess.	Reg. Env. Assess. Ecol.				
Div. Ecol. Resources	Div. Ecol. Resources	Ecol.	Div. Ecol. Resources				
2115 Birchmont Beach Rd.	1201 E. Hwy. 2	Div. Ecol. Resources	261 Hwy. 15 South				
NE	Grand Rapids, MN	1200 Warner Road	New Ulm, MN 56073				
Bemidji, MN 56601	55744	St. Paul, MN 55106					

For a map of DNR Administrative Regions, see: http://files.dnr.state.mn.us/aboutdnr/dnr_regions.pdf

>For a list of Corps of Project Managers: <u>www.mvp.usace.army.mil/regulatory/default.asp?pageid=687</u> or send to:

US Army Corps of Engineers St. Paul District, ATTN: OP-R 180 Fifth St. East, Suite 700 St. Paul, MN 55101-1678

>For Wetland Bank Plan applications, also send a copy of the application to:

Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources Wetland Bank Coordinator 520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155

6. ATTACHMENTS

In addition to the site locator map, list any other attachments:

Application attached

ITASCA COUNTY Itasca County Auditor/Treasurer 123 NE 4th Street Grand Rapids, MN	20)19 Pro	perty Tax S	tatement
55744			UES & CLASSIFICAT vable Year: 2018	
218-327-2859 www.co.itasca.mn.us	Estin	nated Market Va		2019 26,480,100
Property ID: 91-030-1102	STEP			
Owner:GRAND ITASCA CLINIC & HOSPITAL	1 Taxa New E	estead Exclusion able Market Value Improvements/ Expired Exclusion erty Classificatio	e: s:	TAX EXEMPT
Taxpayer(s):				
TAXPAYER # 44154 GRAND ITASCA CLINIC & HOSPITAL 1601 GOLF COURSE RD GRAND RAPIDS MN 55744	STEP 2 Prop	Sent in March PROPOSED osed Tax:(exclud Sent in Nover) TAX ling special assessments)	
Property Description: GRAND RAPIDS CITY		PROPERTY -half Taxes: ond-half Taxes:	TAX STATEMENT May 15	45.00
SEC:30 TWP: 55.0 RG:25 LOT: BLK: ACRES: NE NE LESS S 250FT OF W 347FT & LESS CO RD		I Taxes Due in 2 You may b	e eliaible for one or eve	45.00
	\$\$\$	reduce you	r property tax.	
	REFUND	Taxes Payable	ack of this statement to e Year: 2018	2019
1. Use this amount on Form M1PR to see if you're eligible for a lf box is checked, you owe delinquent taxes and are not eligible.	property tax refund. Fil	e by August 15.		2010
2. Use these amounts on Form M1PR to see if you are eligible for PROPERTY TAX AND CREDITS 3. Property tax before credits 4. Credits that reduce property taxes: A. Agricultural and rural land credits B. Taconite tax relief. C. Other credits 5. Property tax after credits PROPERTY TAX BY JURISDICTION 6. County 7. Citly or Town 8. State General Tax. 9. School District: A. Voter approved levies 0318 B. Tax increment C. Fiscal disparity 11. Non-school voter approved referenda levies 12. Total property tax before special assessments SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS 13A. SOLID WASTE ASSMT (EXEMPT PROPER B. SOLID WASTE ASSMT (EXEMPT PROPER C. Total property tax and special assessments ISUED: 1001/2019	(TY) (TY)		45.00	45.00 45.00 ole in 2019
Real Es	tate ITASCA CO u provide a check as payment y ounty to use information from y a one-time electronic fund frans unt. The funds may be withdraw the same day. You will not recei k from your financial institution	ou your sfer vn from ve Make c	hecks payable to: ty Auditor/Treasurer	
TAX EXEMPT ACCT# 73386 Property ID Number: 91-030-1102 TAX IS \$100. Full Tax for Year .00 DISCARD 2nd Balance Due .00	00 OR LESS HALF PAY STUB	Audi 123	a County tor/Treasurer NE 4th Street d Rapids, MN 4	
Penalty Total Paid ISSUED: 10/0	1/2019		Check if address change on b If box is checked you owe de Detach stub and include with	elinquent taxes
\$30.00 service charge for all returned checks.	103011055014	1073386	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
Real Es	before May 15 to avoid tate ITASCA CO u provide a check as payment y ounty to use information from a one-time electronic fund fram a one-time electronic fund fram e same day. You will not recei k from your financial institution	l penalty UNTY ou opur ofer wn from V ^e Make c		ole in 2019 R CASH CHECK COUNTER MAIL
TAX EXEMPT ACCT# 73386		Mail to: Itasc	a County	
Property ID Number: 91-030-1102 Full Tax for Year 45.00		Audi	tor/Treasurer NE 4th Street	
Full Tax for Year 45.00 Balance Due .00		Gran 5574	d Rapids, MN 4	
Penalty			Check if address change on bac If box is checked you owe delir	
Total Paid ISSUED: 10/0 \$30.00 service charge for all returned checks.	1/2019		Detach stub and include with fi	

taxstmt19-11/07/2018-f

10000009103011022019073386 0000000000000000045000

ASSESSMENT CERTIFICATE

STATE OF MINNESOTA) COUNTY OF ITASCA) SS CITY OF GRAND RAPIDS)

I, the Finance Director of the City of Grand Rapids, do hereby certify that I have carefully examined the records of my office for the purpose of ascertaining the existence of assessments levied for local improvements upon the real property herein described and that at the date of this certificate there are no assessments or installments thereof charged against the following described premises:

Parcel No. 91-030-1102

Legal Description: NE NE LESS S 250FT OF W 347FT & LESS CO RD

This certificate is made as to the above property description, supplied by the person requesting this certificate, and we do not certify as to assessments against the same property leveled under another description.

Our records do not reveal, and we do not certify, whether any PAST DUE installments have not been paid. This information should be obtained from the Itasca County Auditor.

WITNESS my hand and official seal at Grand Rapids, this 14th day of November, 2019.

Barbara A. Baird, Finance Director



Embedded Secure Document

The file *https://grandrapids.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=7981690&GUID=C1AD2950-BF12-4652-A088-1CE52F1BC764* is a secure document that has been embedded in this document. Double click the pushpin to view.



CITY OF GRAND RAPIDS

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	20-0	0841	Version:	1	Name:	Conduct a Public Hearing to petition submitted by Oppida property owner, Grand Itasc	an Holdings, LLC, and
Туре:	Pub	lic Hearing	9		Status:	PC Public Hearing	
File created:	12/3	80/2019			In control:	Planning Commission	
On agenda:	1/8/2	1/8/2020		Final action:			
Title:	Conduct a Public Hearing to consider a variance petition submitted by Oppidan Holdings, LLC, a property owner, Grand Itasca Clinic and Hospital.						n Holdings, LLC, and
Sponsors:							
Indexes:							
Code sections:							
Attachments: The Pillars Variance Request: Staff Report, Map, & Plat							
	Rules for Public Hearing & Variance Considerations						
	Variance Request: Application						
	Site Layout Map & Building Elevations						
Date	Ver.	Action By	,		Ac	tion	Result
1/8/2020	1	Planning	Commissior	n			

Conduct a Public Hearing to consider a variance petition submitted by Oppidan Holdings, LLC, and property owner, Grand Itasca Clinic and Hospital.

Background Information:

See attached Staff Report and Background Information.

Staff Recommendation:

Conduct a Public Hearing to consider a variance petition submitted by Oppidan Holdings, LLC, and property owner, Grand Itasca Clinic and Hospital.



Planning Commission Staff Report

Community Development Date: 1/8/2020 Department
Conduct a Public Hearing to consider a variance petition submitted by
Oppidan Holdings, LLC, and property owner, Grand Itasca Clinic and Hospital.
Oppidan Holdings, LLC, and property owner, Grand Itasca Clinic and Hospital have applied for one variance, which if granted, would allow for the construction of a four story, 120-unit senior living community building having an average building height to the mid-point of the roof of 50 ft. 4 inches on property currently the subject of a preliminary plat/subdivision petition.
The subject property (in its current form) is 36.8 acres in area, but is part of a preliminary plat application which will subdivide the parent parcel into approximately 14.45 acres (3 lots: plat of The Pillars), and the remaining and unplatted 22.35 acres staying attached to the Grand Itasca Clinic and Hospital campus. The property is currently located within a SM (Shoreland Medical) zoning district (subject to a future rezoning request) and legally described as:
DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED PARCEL Subject to Variance Request (future: Lot 2, Block 1, Plat of The Pillars, Itasca County, Minnesota):
That part of the Northeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter (NE ¼ of NE ¼), Section Thirty (30), Township Fifty-five (55) North, Range Twenty-five (25) West of the Fourth Principal Meridian, less the South 250 feet of the West 347 feet thereof, according to the United States Government Survey thereof, Itasca County, Minnesota, lying within the following described figure:
BEGINNING at the Northwest Corner of the said NE ¼ of the NE ¼, thence North 88 degrees 42 minutes 05 seconds East, along the north line of the said NE ¼ of the NE ¼, a distance of 695.68 feet; thence South 1 degree 17 minutes 55 seconds East a distance of 664.15 feet; thence South 59 degrees 09 minutes 58 seconds West a distance of 377.00 feet; thence South 57 degrees 10 minutes 33 seconds West a distance of 160.00 feet; thence South 35 degrees 59 minutes 01 second West a distance of 174.63 feet to the north line of the said South 250 feet of the West 347 feet; thence South 88 degrees 54 minutes 03 seconds West, along said north line, a distance of 160.59 feet to the west line of said NE ¼ of the NE ¼, thence North 00 degrees 34 minutes 33 seconds East, along said west line, a distance of 1072.62 feet to the Point of Beginning and there terminating.

Oppidan Holdings, LLC, and property owner, Grand Itasca Clinic and Hospital have requested the Planning Commission's consideration of one variance from Section 30-512 Table 17C-2 of the Municipal Code, which lists District Development Regulations for Principal Structures (setbacks/lot coverage/building height) within Shoreland Districts. The requested variance, if approved, would allow for the construction of a
four story, 120-unit senior living community building. As proposed, the residential structure would have an average building height to the mid-point of the roof of 50 ft. 4 inches, exceeding the 45 ft. maximum building height allowed within a SR-4 (Shoreland Multiple-Family Residential- high density) zoned district by 5 ft. 4 inches. (future rezoning of a portion of the subject property from the currently established SPU (Shoreland Public Use) to a SR-4 designation to take place under separate petition)
The applicant, within the variance petition, cites a need for the proposed 4- story building in order to maximize density and maintain a cohesive building design and placement for the proposed development, while creating an inviting outdoor space that fits the look and feel of the neighborhood, all while working with the sites unique topography, as several reasons for the variance request.
 The construction of a four story, 120-unit senior living community building having an average building height to the mid-point of the roof of 50 ft. 4 inches, as proposed, would require the Planning Commission's approval of one variance. 1. Section 30-512 Table 17C-2 of the Municipal Code, which lists District Development Regulations for Principal Structures (setbacks/lot coverage/building height) within Shoreland Districts, and establishes a 45 ft. maximum building height for principal structures within a SR-4 zoning district. Potential conditions for variance approval outlined below in "example motion"
When reviewing a request for a variance, the Planning Commission must make findings based on the attached list of considerations.
Staff recommends that the Planning Commissioners visit the site and look at the situation.
Prior to making a motion to approve or deny the request, the Planning Commission should make specific findings to support its recommendation and reference those specific findings in their motion to either approve or deny the variance(s).

Required Action:	Approve a motion to either: approve, approve with additional conditions, or deny the petitioned variance.				
	Example Motion:				
	Motion by, second by that, based on the findings of fact presented here today, and in the public's best interest, the Planning Commission does hereby <u>(grant)(deny)</u> the following variance to Oppidan Holdings, LLC, and property owner, Grand Itasca Clinic and Hospital for the property legally described above/within Staff Report;				
	 to allow a one-time waiver of the requirements of Section 30-512 Table 17C-2 of the Municipal Code for the construction of a four story, 120-unit senior living community building which would have an average building height to the mid-point of the roof of 50 ft. 4 inches, exceeding the 45 ft. maximum building height allowed within a SR-4 (Shoreland Multiple-Family Residential- high density) zoned district by 5 ft. 4 inches, as depicted in the variance application submitted by Oppidan Holdings, LLC, and property owner, Grand Itasca Clinic and Hospital. 				
	(If the Planning Commission wishes to place conditions upon their approval, the following should be added to the motion:)				
	 and that the following condition(s) shall apply: The variance applies to Lot 2, Block 1, Plat of the Pillars (upon completion of subdivision process). The variance approval is contingent on the subject property being successfully rezoned from the current SM (Shoreland Medical) to SR-4 (Shoreland Multiple-Family Residential-high density) 				
Attachments:	 Site Map Copy of the variance petition and associated documentation List of the Planning Commissions Variance Considerations 				








Grand Rapids Planning Commission *Grand Rapids, MN – City Hall*

RULES FOR A PUBLIC HEARING

- 1. After the Chairperson opens the Public Hearing, background on the issue at hand will be given by our Community Development Department Staff and by other presenters.
- 2. Anyone who wishes to address the Commission about the issue may do so, and all who wish to speak will be heard. Please step to the lectern to use the microphone, and state your name and address for the public record. These Proceedings are recorded. Please keep your comments relative to the issue. Please keep in mind that you are addressing the Planning Commission, not debating others in the audience who may have conflicting viewpoints. At all times, be courteous and refrain from interrupting any other speaker present on the floor.
- 3. After everyone has spoken, the Public Hearing will be closed. At this point, Planning Commissioners may ask clarifying questions from citizens and presenters.
- 4. The Chairperson will go through the legal Considerations for the Issue of the Public Hearing, after which the Commissioners will vote on the issue.

PLANNING COMMISSION

Considerations

VARIANCE

1. Is this an "Area" variance rather than a "Use" variance?

2. Does the proposal put property to use in a *reasonable manner*? Why/Why not-

 Is the owner's plight due to circumstances which are unique to the property and which are not self-created by the owner?
 Why/Why not-

4. Is the variance in *harmony with* the purposes and intent of the *ordinance?* Why/Why not-

5. Will the variance, if granted, alter the *essential character* of the locality? Why/Why not-

6. Is the variance *consistent with* the *comprehensive plan*? Why/Why not-



Petition for Variance Community Development Department 420 North Pokegama Ave. Grand Rapids, MN 55744 Tel. (218) 326-7601 Fax (218) 326-7621 Web Site: www.cityofgrandrapidsmn.com

Oppidan Holdi			e granted by support of the foll		առուս «հվետերեն»
Name of Applican	Allb. 9	nee en anne faitheadh an ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann	Grand Itasca Hospital Name of Owner (If other than applicant)		
400 Water Street, Suite 200			1601 Golf Course Road		
Address			Address	a an da da	
Excelsior	MN	55426	Grand Rapids	MN	55744
City	State	Zip	City	State	Zip
	3 michelle@opp	idan.com			*
Business Telephon	ie/e-mail address		Business Telephone/e-i	nall address	Annun pentalah nasararan salap pupaka
* ¹ If appl property.	icant is not the owner Redevelopment i	r <i>, please describe the ap</i> n partnership with G	<i>plicant's interest in the subject</i> irand Itasca Hospital		
Parcel Informati	901:	na an a	an a a chuir an an an an an an ann an an an an an an		
Tax Parcel # 91-0	030-1102	9000900MA	Property Size: 6.3 acres		
Existing Zoning: M	edical	Maddal yr er e			
Existing Use: Vac	ant, undevelope	d			
Property Address/L	ocation: 1601 Golf	Course Road, Gran	d Rapids, MN		
			er (nahada katan katan katan kat		Norsaanse of aastalay yry paramet
LegalDescription:	ee atlached.				
	(attach additi	onal sheet If necessary)	aan ah	an a	na na manana ang kang kang kang kang kang kang
appacation is accuration the subject property	we and complete and y by pubic officers, en	i incinnes all requirest int	, and belief, all of the informatic ormation and submittals, and th the City of Grand Rapids wishin ation.	m.de W and man do man de A.	
			12/2/14		
Signature(s) of Appl	icant(s)	annan an a	12/3/11 Date		
an ann an an an Andhan	n	2020	BoMarbatta		
and the sea		EEF	12/9/19		
JUNI 3000 million all Prime	PTE atlana strange	and the same of the D	and the second	······································	
agrature of Owner	(If other than the App	plicant)	ramati je hang herror se kang herro hana mekana sa sa Dake		
	(If other than the Ap 9_2018ertified Comple	office les mil	Date		
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	9_2018ertified Comple			Meeting Date	1/8/2020
	9_2018ertified Comple tecommendation:		and the second	Meeting Date_	1/8/2020
inte Rece <mark>DEC.</mark>	9_2018ertified Comple tecommendation:		and the second	Meeting Date	1 <u>8</u> 2030
Note Recondence of A	9_2018ertified Comple tecommendation:		and the second	Meeting Date.	<u>1 8/</u> 2020

City of Grand Rapids Variance Application Page 1 of 4

Application Fee - \$252.50 *²

Site Map- Drawn to scale, showing the property dimensions, existing and proposed, building(s)/addition(s) and their size(s) including: square footage, curb cuts, driveways, access roads, parking spaces, sidewalks and wells & septic systems.

*²The application fees charged are used for postage to mail the required notices to adjacent properties, publication of the public hearing notice in the Grand Rapids Herald Review, and for a small portion of staff time for case review and preparation of documents. It is the policy of the City of Grand Rapids to require applicants for land use approvals to reimburse the City for costs incurred by the City in reviewing and acting upon applications, so that these costs are not borne by the taxpayers of the City.

Proposed Variance:

Please describe in detail the proposed or requested variance:
 See attached Petition for Variance document.

B. Provide an itemization of the required regulations pertaining to this variance (i.e., setback lines, lot coverage ratios, parking requirements).

See attached Petition for Variance document.

<u>Justification of Requested Variance</u>: Provide adequate evidence indicating compliance with the following provisions of the ordinance concerning variances (Section 30-453(e) "Findings for Variances"). Detailed answers are needed because the Planning Commission shall grant a variation only when they have determined, and recorded in writing, that all of the following provisions have been met.

A. That the requested variance does not allow a use that is otherwise excluded from the particular zoning district in which it is requested.

Applicant justification (refer to Table of Uses in City Code Section 30-512):

See attached.

Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement: See attached.		Does the proposal put property to use in a reasonable manner?
C. The plight of the landowner is due to circumstances unique to the property in question, and not created by the landowner subsequent to the adoption of this ordinance. Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement: See attached. D. That the variance, if granted, shall be in harmony with purposes and intent of the ordinance, and will not be detrimental to the public welfare or the property or improvements in the neighborhood, and will not alter the essenti character of the locality. Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement: See attached. E. That the variance, if granted, shall be consistent with the comprehensive plan. Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:		Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:
Iandowner subsequent to the adoption of this ordinance. Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement: See attached.		See attached.
Iandowner subsequent to the adoption of this ordinance. Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement: See attached.		
Iandowner subsequent to the adoption of this ordinance. Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement: See attached.		
Iandowner subsequent to the adoption of this ordinance. Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement: See attached.		
Iandowner subsequent to the adoption of this ordinance. Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement: See attached.		
See attached.	lag a	
See attached.		Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:
D. That the variance, if granted, shall be in harmony with purposes and intent of the ordinance, and will not be detrimental to the public welfare or the property or improvements in the neighborhood, and will not alter the essentic character of the locality. Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement: See attached.		
detrimental to the public welfare or the property or improvements in the neighborhood, and will not alter the essenti character of the locality. Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement: See attached.		
detrimental to the public welfare or the property or improvements in the neighborhood, and will not alter the essentic character of the locality. Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement: See attached.		
detrimental to the public welfare or the property or improvements in the neighborhood, and will not alter the essenti character of the locality. Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement: See attached.		
detrimental to the public welfare or the property or improvements in the neighborhood, and will not alter the essenti character of the locality. Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement: See attached.		
detrimental to the public welfare or the property or improvements in the neighborhood, and will not alter the essenti character of the locality. Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement: See attached.		
E. That the variance, if granted, shall be consistent with the comprehensive plan. Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:		detrimental to the public welfare or the property or improvements in the neighborhood, and will not alter the essentia character of the locality.
E. That the variance, if granted, shall be consistent with the comprehensive plan. Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:		
Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:		##4, #24, #74, #14, #14, #14, #14, #14, #14, #14, #1
Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:		
Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:		
Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:		
Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:		
Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:		
Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:		
See attached.		That the variance, if granted, shall be consistent with the comprehensive plan.
		Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:
		Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:
		Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:
		Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:
		Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:
		Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:
		Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:
		Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement:

<u>**City Process:**</u>

- Applicant submits a completed application to the Grand Rapids Community Development Department by the 15th of the month.
- 2. Review by staff for completeness of application.
- 3. Notification of adjoining property owners.
- 4. Publish Notice of Public Hearing.
- 5. Prepare Staff Report and background information.
- 6. Public Hearing and action at Planning Commission Meeting (First Thursday of each month).

Findings for Approval:

The Planning Commission, in support of its action, will make findings of fact based on their responses to the following list of considerations:

- Is this an "Area" variance rather than a "Use" variance?
- Does the proposal put property to use in a reasonable manner?
- Are there unique circumstances to the property not created by the landowner?
- Is the variance in harmony with the purposes and intent of the ordinance?
- Will the variance, if granted, alter the essential character of the locality?
- Is the variance consistent with the comprehensive plan?

INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED

More information may be requested by the City of Grand Rapids Planning Commission, if deemed necessary to properly evaluate your request. The lack of information requested may be in itself sufficient cause to deny an application.

Proposed Variance

- A. Oppidan is requesting a variance to allow for the height of this proposed Pillars of Grand Rapids Senior Living community to exceed the allowed limits. City Code limits structures zoned R4 to be a height of 45'-0" In order to achieve required density and maintain a cohesive design, Oppidan is requesting that the proposed development exceed this limit at a height of 50'-4".
- B. Provide an itemization of the required regulations pertaining to this variance. (ie. Setback lines, lot coverage ratios, parking requirements)

Proposed Zoning – SR-4 (Shore land Multi-family Residential – high density)

Senior Housing requires 0.5 stalls per dwelling unit (12.5% of the required parking shall be enclosed). Total of 113 stalls are proposed. Exterior parking includes 53 stalls with additional 4 that will be accessible parking. Interior parking is proposed to be 56 stalls.

Setbacks for Principal Structure: 35' front yard – Actual +/-58' 20' interior side yard – Actual +/-25' 30' street yard - NA 35' rear yard – Actual +/-100

Setback for Surface Parking: 10' front yard - NA 6' interior side yard – Actual +/-12' 10' street yard - NA 6' rear yard – Actual +/-72'

Minimum Roadway frontage: 100' Width – Actual +/-136 LF

Sports Court: 10' interior side yard – Actual +/-11'

Lot to creek centerline: +/-6.1 Acres Proposed Lot Coverage: +/-1 .0 Acres (includes island) Proposed Impervious Area: +/-1.9 Acres

Shoreline Density Requirements: Tier 3: Cumulative total Density 108.3 – Actual: +/- 69.5 Units Tier 4: Cumulative total Density 142.4 – Actual: +/- 50.5 Units

Justification of Requested Variance

A. That the requested variance does not allow a use that is otherwise excluded for the particular zoning district in which it is requested.

This variance request for an increase in overall building height does not change the use of the building. The proposed senior housing development remains a high density, multi-family use which is permitted in the SR-4 district.

B. Does the proposal put the property to use in a reasonable manner? Applicant justification – Describe how your situation applies to the above statement.

To date, this property has been untouched for development. This proposed use not only maximizes density but provides a much-needed community amenity and diversity of housing. As we know, the demand for senior housing is growing each year, and we have not yet hit the peak of that demand. Existing senior communities throughout Grand Rapids have several applicants on their waitlists to get into an apartment. This proposed development provides another alternative for the aging population.

Additionally, the City is currently developing neighboring land. This development includes a new elementary school and several single-family homes. A senior housing community is the perfect complimentary addition creating a multi-generational development and an inclusive neighborhood.

C. The plight of the landowner is due to circumstances unique to the property in question, and not created by the landowner subsequent to the adoption of this ordinance. Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement.

This site presents some unique challenges in topography. Due to these challenges we have been very diligent in building design and placement so that the proposed development sits in position to maximize the building while creating an inviting outdoor space that fits the look and feel of the neighborhood.

D. That the variance, if granted, shall be in harmony with purposes and intent of the ordinance, and will not be detrimental to the public welfare or the property improvements in the neighborhood, and will not alter the essential character of the locality. Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement.

The proposed senior housing building despite requesting a variance for height, has been designed to blend within its natural setting and the surrounding residential neighborhood. The increased height of the building will not overshadow any adjacent properties. The site layout and shape of the building footprint are sensitive to the single-family homes to the West. To reduce the visual impact, a generous setback is maintained, and the four-story bulk of the building mass expands towards the creek along the east side of the property. The intent in the design is to stand out from our senior buildings in Grand Rapids but to be cohesive in our design and align with the vision and look of the community. This includes creating a comfortable and inviting setting fitting of a residence and a new development.

E. That the variance, if granted, shall be consistent with the comprehensive plan. Applicant justification - Describe how your situation applies to the above statement. The proposed senior living community falls directly in line with the City of Grand Rapid's Comprehensive Plan. The addition of this community in a developing area adds to the diversity of housing provided in the area by blending with the City proposed single family homes currently under development.

This proposed community provides safe, healthy living options for the seniors of Grand Rapids and surrounding communities. Great care goes into designing not only a safe and nurturing building for seniors, but also for the areas surrounding the site. Each roadway, bike path, and park bench are designed with safety and functionality and accessibility in mind for our aging population while also blending seamlessly into the surrounding neighborhood.

One of the best features of this site is its forestry and topography. While some of this will change due to the development itself, we intend to blend this community with it's surrounding nature as much as possible. Views and site lines will be unmatched, and we intend to enhance this natural setting as much as possible.

Additionally, one of the founding principles of the Pillars senior living communities is life long learning. In working with Ebenezer, we strive to create unique opportunities for our residents through community partnerships, intergenerational activities, access to education and programming and through unique programs such as WellnessVR. Oppidan communities benefit from opportunities made possible through the Pillars Fund which provides unique opportunities for memory care residents living within our communities. These funds allow residents to create art, music and memories otherwise not possible.



THE PILLARS OF GRAND RAPIDS - SENIOR LIVING

Area

Unit Mix by Type Unit Type Count

Name

Area Unit Mix by Floor Name Count Ar

NE GUNEET VIE MIC ACT VIE MIC ACT VIE MIC ACT VIE MIC ACT



= 22,000 GF = 27,000 GF = 27,000 GF = 141,476 GSF

Level Level Level Level

(5) (5)



Oppidan





The Pillars of Grand Rapids - Senior Living

Oppidan



The Pillars of Grand Rapids - Senior Living

Oppidan

TV V vision





Exterior Elevations The Pillars of Grand Rapids - Senior Living Oppidan

