## CITY OF GRAND RAPIDS

# Meeting Agenda Full Detail - Final <br> City Council Work Session 

CALL TO ORDER: Pursuant to due notice and call thereof a Special Meeting/Worksession of the Grand Rapids City Council will be held on Monday, October 9, 2017 at 4:00 p.m. in City Hall Conference , 420 North Pokegama Avenue, Grand Rapids, Minnesota.

CALL OF ROLL: On a call of roll, the following members were present:

Discussion Items

1. 17-0725 Elementary Schools Task Force Presentation
2. 14-0789 Review 5:00 PM Regular Meeting

ADJOURN
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Elementary Schools Task Force Presentation

# Kent Koerbitz - Operation Director at Blandin Paper Company 

Current Board Chairperson for the Grand Rapids Chamber of Commerce.

Mindy Nuhring - Executive Director at River Grand Senior Living, Part-time Instructor at ICC
Board Member of the Grand Rapids Chamber of Commerce

How we got here:
Mindy was involved in the communications piece of trying to pass the 2015 bond referendum. When that bond referendum failed in the fall of 2015, Kent and Mindy joined a Chamber group that was made up of 6-7 Chamber members. This group wanted to analyze the details of the elementary school needs and determine whether there was, indeed, a space issue. They concluded in May of 2016 that there was a space issue that needed a solution.

In the fall of 2016, Kent and Mindy were asked by the School District if they would co-chair a community group that would further analyze the problem and try to find a community solution. They formed the Elementary Facilities Taskforce (EFT) in January of 2017 and invited many community members to join. The EFT was broken into three committees: a Building and Sites committee, a Finance committee and a Communications committee. The Building and Sites committee would look at the facilities themselves to find out their condition and whether they could be remodeled or replaced. The Finance committee was to analyze the financial details involved in remodeling, replacing and how much each would cost. The Communications committee would try to inform the public about what was going on and what the issues were.

The Building and Sites committee presented their findings to the EFT in early June 2017 and the ' entire EFT selected the best community plan to move forward with. This plan was then presented to the School Board in late June 2017 and was accepted as presented.

Since then, work to finalize building sizes and configurations, site selections or dispositions, total costs, financing and communication of the plan to the community have continued. A report to the Commissioner of the Minnesota Department of Education detailing how we have met their guidelines for a school referendum is due November $15^{\text {th }}$. Assuming the Commissioner approves the project, a referendum will be held Tuesday, February 13, 2018.

## We have a SPACE problem!

- The four Grand Rapids area Elementary schools were originally built for 44 sections of K- 5 Students. They currently house 56 sections of K-4. There are an additional 10 sections of Grade 5 in the RJEMS.
- In 2007, the $5^{\text {th }}$ grade was moved to the RJE Middle School because of space shortages at the Elementary school level, overcrowding the Middle School space. RJEMS was originally designed for 33 sections and now has 45 sections of classes in it with larger elementary classes moving up.
- 14 portable/temporary classrooms are in use at 3 of our Elementary's. Pre-K classes are in 16 leased classrooms. The Alternative Learning Center is in leased space.
- The lots Forest Lake and Murphy Elementary schools currently sit on, are too small to allow permanent additions without significant impact to their neighborhoods and high costs required to acquire the houses and additional land. Even if we could add on classrooms; the Gyms, Cafeterias, Library/Media areas and common spaces are too small for current enrollment as they were built to service 300 students per school and we are at 360+ and growing.
- The space we have is not all ADA compliant. Making it conform to federal law is expensive and in Cohasset it would be very expensive due to the buildings design.

The Elementary Facilities Taskforce (EFT) was formed to find solutions to our critical space problem

## Grand Rapids and Cohasset elementary schools


by the numbers

## Number of portable classrooms 14
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Age or our oldest building
94 years
building yougest
58 years
We have a


## Why did last referendum fail? What we have heard

$\checkmark$ Too much money
$\checkmark$ How were the dollars to be used
$\checkmark 900$ student schools too large
$\checkmark$ Cohasset losing community school
$\checkmark$ No plan for sites
$\checkmark$ Riverview history
How would be teachers impacted?
The District to work on
$\square$ What are the new boundaries for kids?
$\square$ Community didn't see need
$\square$ Lack of communication
$\square$ Unanswered questions


Important for the School District to work on this. Communications and Outreach here to assist

Community doesn't see value in tax investment The voters will decide

## Minnesota Department of Education GUIDE FOR PLANNING SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN MINNESOTA

TABLE I
SCHOOL SITE SIZE GUIDELINES

| SCHOOL LEVEL | Site Size |
| :---: | :---: |
| ElEMENTARY SCHOOL | 10-15 ACRES + |
| K-8 OR Middle Level School | 25-35 ACRES + |
| K-12 School or Small High School | 35-40 ACRES + |
| LARGE HIGH SCHOOL ( $>2,000$ STUDENTS) | 60 ACRES + |
| CAMPUS (TWO OR MORE SCHOOLS) | COMBINE SITE SIZES + |
| ALL SCHOOLS | PLus <br> ONE ADDITIONAL ACRE FOR EACH 100 STUDENTS OF ESTIMATED STUDENT ENROLLMENT AND COMMUNITY USE/PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM CAPACITY, INCLUDING POSSIBLE ADDITIONS |

Adherence to the "Guidelines" is checked by the Department of Education (DOC). The school district must submit the proposal and gain approval from the DOC Commissioner before a referendum can be held.

## Existing School Sites vs MDE Guidelines <br>  <br> Cohasset $=7.0$ acres vs $13-18$

Murphy $=5.0$ acres vs $14-19$


Forest Lake $=5.7$ acres vs $14-19$


Southwest $=8.5$ acres vs $14-19$



## Estimated Property Acquisition costs for Existing Elementary Schools to meet Minimum MDE Standards

```
Forest Lake: $5,441,560 (36 properties)
Murphy: $4,382,280 (27 properties)
Southwest: $ 846,120 (City land, may be some swapping)
    (5 other properties)
Cohasset: $ 420,240 (3 properties)
(Info Provided by FI Salter Co.)
```

Cohasset site CAN be expanded to meet MDE Guidelines
Murphy site CANNOT be expanded to meet MDE guidelines Forest Lake site CANNOT be expanded to meet MDE guidelines
Southwest site CAN be expanded to meet MDE guidelines

## Building Review Summary

## Consensus was reached by committee

- Cohasset building is NOT suitable to remodel without replacing the core 1922 structure. Site CAN be made adequate.
- Murphy building IS suitable to remodel/renovate. Site NOT able to be made adequate given costs and neighborhood impacts.
- Forest Lake building IS suitable to remodel/renovate. Site NOT able to be made adequate given costs and neighborhood impacts.
- Southwest building IS suitable to remodel/renovate. Site CAN be made adequate.


## Determining what meets our needs

- Planning is for 12 sections of K-5 ( 72 sections with 300 students/class) based on recent county birth rates and projected future class sizes. Schools were originally build for 44 sections of K-5. There are 66 sections in 2017-2018.
- 20 different options were developed and reviewed
- 1 option was to remodel the 4 existing schools
- 5 Options were for new schools only
- 14 options had combinations of remodeling and/or new construction
- Most people were comfortable with schools of smaller than 6 sections or 900 students. Teachers and Administration prefer K-5 schools instead of K-2 and 3-5 schools.
- Keeping a community school in Cohasset was seen as valuable by the majority of the committee.
- Any new schools would need the ability to expand if required.
- Replacement of the old middle school with new housing resulted in measurable increases in neighborhood housing value and increased the City's tax base.


## Grand Rapids $\mathbb{K}-12$ wnrollment

vs. Kindergarten Enrollment


## How did we get here?

- From 1990 to 2005 elementary schools were closed due to declining enrollment and the need to manage/reduce costs.
- Since 2005 kindergarten enrollment has increased from 190 to 300 students requiring additions to the middle school, addition of 14 temporary classrooms to the existing elementary's and leasing of 20 classrooms to fulfill district obligations.
- It is not a question of if a new school(s) is required, it is a question of how much new space is needed, where should the space be located and what combination of existing assets and new investment is best for the community.


## Determining what meets our needs

- Recommend two new 750 student K-5 schools with capability to expand if needed, and a remodeled 300 student K-5 school in Cohasset.
- Recommend Southwest school be retained and used for mandated programs and elimination of leased space.
- Recommend Forest Lake and Riverview properties be redeveloped for housing.
- Recommend keeping costs less than \$70M
- Recommend further study of Murphy school use.
(Hold for 4 yr olds, repurpose, demo... ??? \$20M+ if new building(s) for 4 yr olds)


## Option 18

New construction
plus rebuild
Cohasset

## \$74M

## Preferred <br> option <br> 77\%



Rebuilt Cohasset K-5 School

Cohasset School Layout with potential City of Cohasset paid for enhancements





This is an example only to help provide a look at what a new school layout could look like. No architectural drawings will be available until there is opportunity for communty input on the designs.

## Additional Recommendations

- A priority needs to be placed on security and adequate parent drop-off and pick-up.
- A process to bring teachers and fiscally conservative community members together to ensure buildings are adequately sized but not overbuilt is needed.
- School Board should create a process for public input into determining new school boundaries.
- Teachers/union should participate in re-alignment of teaching assignments.
- The bus transportation plan needs review with more weight given to time children spend in transit. In part, this is driving high parent drop-off/pick-up rates. When school boundaries are re-aligned the transportation plan must also be updated.
- The EFT process was significantly impacted by current sites being inadequate based on MDE guidelines and few site options. Future projects need site options determined before starting.
- Inside and outside athletic spaces should be sized for community/multiple use.


## ISD 318 - Comparison of Total School Taxes

Itasca County, ISD 318, Public Schools
Total School Property Taxes, Payable 2017, on a Home with an Estimated Market Value of $\$ 150,000$


Tax impact on a \$150,000 home is \$87 annually, or $\$ 7.25$ per month, compared with $\$ 99$ annually and \$8.28 per month in 2015

[^0]
## Thank you!! Questions?

The EFT is a joint venture of the Grand Rapids Chamber of Commerce and ISD 318 The EFT consists of 3 committees: Finance, Communications and Buildings and sites Over 200 individuals participated and contributed in public meetings to develop this plan.

Formal Meetings with Community - 420 hours
Community Listening Sessions - 80 hours
Steering Meetings (co-chairs, administration, board) - 260 hours
Hours of Volunteer Time -

- Finance - 65 hours, Communication - 160 hours, Facilities -1050 hours


## 2,070 volunteer hours to-date

For the full EFT presentation, visit isd318.org and click EFT Recommendation under the Facility Plan tab. A recording of our presentation to the School Board is available on watchictv.org under Streaming -> Government Meetings.


[^0]:    Source: Pay 17 School Tax Report

